

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/010,903	12/06/2001	Robert Sixto JR.	SYN-039C	6145
•	590 02/09/2004		EXAMINER	
Gordon & Jacobson, P.C. 65 Woods End Road			WOO, JULIAN W	
Stamford, CT			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3731	2
			DATE MAILED: 02/09/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
, -	10/010,903	SIXTO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	0.11/			
	Julian W. Woo	3731	JH			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet v	ith the correspondence a	dd/ess			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days  If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON.  FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on.  , a reply within the statutory minimum of th period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  irty (30) days will be considered time  NTHS from the mailing date of this of the control of the contro	ely. communication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	9/23/02.					
,	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for a	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-8,11,13,14,16,17,19,20 and 7)</u> ⊠ Claim(s) <u>3,9,10,12,15,18,21 and 22</u> is/are 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and 22	thdrawn from consideration.  ad 23 is/are rejected.  e objected to.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exact 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the continuous The oath or declaration is objected to by the specific sheet is a specific sheet in the continuous sheet (s) including the continuous shee	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to to the drawing(s) be held in abeya correction is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 C				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for	iments have been received. Iments have been received in Exprise priority documents have bee Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this Nationa	ıl Stage			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-943)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/949)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2.	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PT 	ГО-152)			



Application/Control Number: 10/010,903

Art Unit: 3731

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 4-8, 11, 13, 16, 17, 19, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Reztzov et al. (5,769,857). Resztzov et al. disclose, in the figures, a surgical clip applier with an outer tubular member (8), a clip-advancing element (6), a jaw mount (15), first and second jaws (2, 3) each with a recessed clip guide (4), a control element (16), a handle assembly (at 13), a clip chamber (18) enclosed by the tubular member and the jaw mount (see also col. 4, line 31-35), and a plurality of U-shaped clips (19); where the first jaw includes a first clamping surface and a curved distal anvil (5) with first and second lateral sides (108) and the second jaw includes a first clamping surface and a pair of anvil guides (109) laterally offset relative to the distal anvil, and where the clamping surfaces each include two surfaces separated by a clip guide.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.



Art Unit: 3731

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 4. Claims 14 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reztzov et al. in view of Oz et al. (6,269,819). Reztov et al. disclose the invention substantially as claimed, but do not disclose clamping surfaces with a plurality of teeth. Oz et al. teach, in figures 2-4, a clip applier with clamping surfaces having a plurality of teeth. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made, in view of Oz et al., to include teeth on the clamping surfaces of the apparatus of Reztzov et al. Such teeth would allow the apparatus of Reztzov et al. to grip tissue more firmly.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 3, 9, 10, 12, 15, 18, 21, and 22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: None of the prior art of record, alone or in combination, discloses a surgical clip applier with, inter alia, an outer tubular member, first and second jaws with respective first and second clamping surfaces, and a plurality of clips, where the first jaw has a

Application/Control Number: 10/010,903

Art Unit: 3731

curved or bent distal anvil, where at least one of the jaws is rotatable relative to the

Page 4

other jaw, where the second jaw includes a well recessed relative to a surface of a clip

guide in the jaw and located distal of the clip guide; where the plurality of clips are

coupled in a manner such that when a proximally located clip is retracted, the proximally

located clip pulls a distally located clip backwards; and where the clamping surfaces

include a plurality of proximally directed teeth.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 7.

examiner should be directed to Julian W. Woo whose telephone number is (703) 308-

0421. The examiner can normally be reached Mon.-Fri., 7:00 AM to 3:00 PM Eastern

Time, alternate Fridays off.

Julian W. Moo

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Michael J. Milano can be reached at (703) 308-2496.

General inquiries relating to the status of this application should be directed to

the Group receptionist at (703) 308-0858. The official FAX number is (703) 872-9306.

Julian W. Woo

**Primary Examiner** 

February 3, 2004